UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,167	02/01/2001	Yasushi Kubota	55561 (70820)	7275
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	74	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/775,167	KUBOTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629	

	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires of months from the mailing date of the linar rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 March 2008. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or as Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	. 3						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Sumati Lefkowitz/	Srilakshmi K Kumar						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues where the prior art does not teach where "an input control signal of the transfer gate of a corresponding register block being brought into an ON-state only in a specified period during which an output of the flip-flop of the corresponding register block changes". Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. As previously stated in the Final Office action, AAPA teaches where the control signal is brought to an ON state when the output of the flip-flop changes. Applicant further argues where the control signal stays on during the entire change in the flip flop, not in only in a specified period. Examiner, respectfully disagrees. Applicant does not claim where the control signal turns off before the flip flop changes again. The claimed limitations can be read by AAPA, Figs. 41 as the limitation of "in a specified period" is broad, thus can be interpreted to read where the control signal is in the ON-state during the entire active output period of the flip flop. Thus, the rejection is maintained..